

ACOMPLI

Turn assessment findings into governed risk action

Extract, review, treat, and report on risks in one controlled workflow.

01 Readiness

02 Extraction

03 Consistency

04 Treatment

05 Governance

06 Dashboards & Reporting

Risks proposed by AI. Register governed by humans. Every entry traceable to evidence.

A readiness check runs before extraction begins

Before any extraction starts, a readiness assessment evaluates whether the source assessment has sufficient coverage and quality for meaningful risk identification.

This helps the DPO understand whether the source material is sufficiently complete before AI analysis runs.

Coverage validation

Does the assessment address the areas needed for meaningful risk identification?

Quality prediction

Where are signals strong, and where are inputs missing or likely to produce lower-confidence results?

Proceed or improve

Clear recommendation on whether to proceed with extraction or strengthen the assessment first.

Readiness assessment ensures the source material is sufficiently complete before AI analysis runs.

Risks are extracted from the assessment as a whole, not solely question by question

Acomplí analyses the full set of responses holistically, identifying cross-question patterns, contextual risks, and control gaps that isolated analysis may miss. Each proposed entry includes structured fields, confidence scoring, and grounding verification against the source assessment text.

The DPO reviews each proposed entry, accepting, adjusting, or rejecting individual fields before publication. The register remains a human-governed record supported by machine-assisted analysis.

The register remains a human-governed record supported by machine-assisted analysis.

Consistency analysis helps surface issues that may otherwise be missed

Consistency analysis runs automatically on each extraction batch, bringing potential issues to light before they reach the DPO review queue. An overall quality score combines the checks into a single readiness indicator.

Duplicate detection

Similar risks captured from different questions, with similarity scores and suggestions to merge or cross-reference.

Severity inconsistencies

Comparable risks rated differently without recorded rationale, including possible contradictions between control effectiveness and residual risk.

Probing questions

Targeted follow-up questions for ambiguous responses, tracked through pending, answered, dismissed, or resolved states.

An overall quality score combines the checks into a single readiness indicator.

Treatment plans are structured to support follow-through

Each risk in the register can support a treatment plan with a defined strategy, named owners, costed actions, and ROI tracking. Budget allocated and budget spent can also be tracked against the plan.

Mitigate

Implement controls to reduce likelihood or impact to an acceptable level.

Avoid

Redesign the processing activity to remove the risk entirely.

Transfer

Address risk contractually through processor agreements or insurance.

Accept

Record a reasoned decision to tolerate risk within defined thresholds.

Each treatment plan carries a defined strategy, named owners, costed actions, and ROI tracking.

Risks may be proposed by AI, but the register remains human-governed

Each stage is controlled, auditable, and reversible. Nothing enters the live register without human approval.

Draft-first workflow

Extracted risks appear as drafts until reviewed and approved.

Evidence-linked entries

Each risk traces to its source assessment question, response, and approval history.

Complete audit history

Decisions, edits, approvals, and status changes are recorded.

Entity-level segregation

Multi-entity organisations can maintain entity-scoped registers with consolidated group-level reporting.

Nothing enters the live register without human approval.

Live dashboards built into the platform

Risk heatmaps, trend analysis, severity distribution, treatment progress, and residual exposure can be derived from live data as statuses change.

Risk heatmap

5x5 likelihood-by-impact matrix with drill-down to individual risks by cell.

Status distribution

Open, In Treatment, Mitigated, Accepted, and Closed at a glance.

Monthly trends

Time-series view of how the register is evolving.

Ageing buckets

Risks grouped by age to highlight entries that may require attention.

Owner breakdown

Risk distribution by assigned owner, with visibility of critical and high-severity items.

Natural language query

Ask questions about risk data in plain English and receive answers with context drawn from the register.

Power BI integration

Direct connection from Power BI Desktop or Service to live risk data. Download a connection file, use Power Query code, or connect via API. Scheduled refresh is supported.

Export everywhere

PDF for board packs, Excel for audit, and API export with custom field mapping for downstream GRC platforms such as ServiceNow, Jira, or bespoke environments.